



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/716,885	11/20/2000	Rumo Satake	SEL 229	1074

7590 12/13/2005

COOK, ALEX, McFARRON, MANZO  
CUMMINGS & MEHLER, LTD.  
SUITE 2850  
200 WEST ADAMS STREET  
CHICAGO, IL 60606

EXAMINER

LEWIS, DAVID LEE

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2673

DATE MAILED: 12/13/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Office Action Summary**

Application No.

09/716,885

Applicant(s)

SATAKE, RUMO

Examiner

David L. Lewis

Art Unit

2673

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 January 1941.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-41 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-41 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)             |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>7/27/2005</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____  |

## DETAILED ACTION

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

1. **Claims 1-5, 17-18, and 26-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hartmann (4976515).**

**As in claim 1, Hartmann teaches of a method of driving a liquid crystal display device, figure 3a,**

**said liquid crystal display device including: an orientation film over a substrate, figure 1 item 6;**

**and a liquid crystal material over orientation film, figure 1 item 2,**

**said liquid crystal material over the orientation film, said liquid crystal material having a chiral smectic phase, column 3 lines 20-25,**

Art Unit: 2673

wherein a brightness of said liquid crystal material increases monotonically according to a voltage value applied to said liquid crystal material, **figure 3a and 3b**,

said method comprising the steps of: displaying a black level by the liquid crystal material in a first period, **figure 3a item Vbl, figure 4b wherein  $-6V < Vbl < OV$** ;

applying a voltage to the liquid crystal material for a gradation display in a second period, wherein the second period comes before or after the first period, **figure 3a item VI or V2**.

**As in claim 2, Hartmann teaches of** a method of driving a liquid crystal display device, said liquid crystal display device including: an orientation film over a substrate, **figure 1 item 6**;

and a liquid crystal material over the orientation film, **figure 1 item 2**,

said liquid crystal material over the orientation film, said liquid crystal material having a chiral smectic phase, **column 3 lines 20-25**,

wherein a brightness of said liquid crystal material increases monotonically according to a voltage value applied to said liquid crystal, **figure 3a and 3b**,

said method comprising the steps of: canceling out a spontaneous polarization of the liquid crystal material in a first period, **figure 3a item Vbl, figure 4b wherein  $-6V < Vbl < OV$** ;

and applying a voltage to the liquid crystal material for a gradation display in a second period, wherein the second period comes before or after the first period, **figure 3a item VI or V2.**

**As in claim 3, Hartmann teaches of** a method of driving a liquid crystal display device: said liquid crystal display device including: an orientation film over a substrate, **figure 1 item 6;**

and a liquid crystal material over the orientation film, **figure 1 item 2,**

said liquid crystal material having a chiral smectic phase, **column 3 lines 20-25,**

wherein a brightness of said liquid crystal material increases monotonically according to a voltage value applied to said liquid crystal, **figure 3a and 3b,**

said method comprising the steps of: applying a voltage of OV to the liquid crystal material, **figure 3a item Vbl, figure 4b wherein  $-6V < Vbl < OV$** ;

Art Unit: 2673

and applying a voltage to the liquid crystal material for a gradation display in a second period, wherein the second period comes before or after the first period, **figure 3a item V1 or V2.**

**As in claim 12, Hartmann teaches of a method of driving a liquid crystal display device, figure 1 and 7,**

said liquid crystal display device including: a plurality of thin film transistors being provided over a substrate, **figure 7 item 15;**

an auxiliary capacitor being connected in series to each of the plurality of thin film transistors, **figure 7 item 25;** wherein said display element 25 is also a capacitor.

an orientation film over each of the plurality of thin film transistors, **figure 1 item 6;**

and a liquid crystal material over the orientation film, **figure 1 item 2,**

said liquid crystal material over the orientation film, said liquid crystal material having a spontaneous polarization, **column 3 lines 20-25,**

wherein a brightness of said liquid crystal material increases monotonically according to a voltage value applied to said liquid crystal, **figure 3a and 3b,**

said method comprising the steps of: applying a voltage of OV to the liquid crystal material in a first period, **figure 3a item Vbl, figure 4b wherein  $-6V < Vbl < OV$ ;**

and performing a gradation display in a second period, wherein the second period comes before or after the first period, wherein the first period and the second period repeat, **figure 3a item V1 or V2.**

**As in claims 4, 17, and 26, Hartmann teaches of,** wherein a plurality of active elements are formed over the substrate, figure 2a item 15.

**As in claims 5, 18, and 27, Hartmann teaches of,** wherein each of the plurality of active elements applies a voltage to the liquid crystal material, and wherein the voltage has an upper limit, figure 3a item Vd.

**As in claim 15, Hartmann teaches of ,** wherein the liquid crystal material has a chiral smectic CF phase, column 3 lines 20-25, figure 1 item 2.

**As in claims 35-37, Hartmann teaches of** said liquid crystal material being driven by active matrix driving, figure 2a and 7.

Art Unit: 2673

**As in claim 38, Hartmann teaches of** wherein said black level is displayed by applying a voltage of OV to the liquid crystal material, figure 3a item Vbl, figure 4b wherein  $-6V < Vb1 < OV$ .

**As in claim 39-41, Hartmann teaches** wherein a quantity of light changes by changing a values of a voltage, figure 4b.

**As in claim 13, Hartmann teaches of,** wherein a transmittance of the liquid crystal material is uniquely determined When voltages having a same absolute value and opposite polarities are applied thereto, figure 4a, wherein Vd is 6v and Vhl is -6V.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. **Claims 7-9, 11, 14, 16, 20-22, 24, 25, 29-31, 33, and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hartmann (4976515) in view of Saishu et al. (6069600).**



**As in claims 7-9, 16, 20-22, 25, 29-31, and 34,** Hartmann teaches of the invention as applied to claims 1-3 and 12, however Hartmann is silent as to said combination of varying spontaneous polarization of the liquid crystal material being of a specific value and orientation film thickness.

**Saishu et al. teaches of** varying spontaneous polarization of the liquid crystal material, column 9 lines 15-25, column 12 lines 55-60, further wherein said varying orientation film thickness would have been an obvious design choice in view of the range of values suggested by Saishu, further wherein said thickness values also represent obvious design choice thickness values available to the skilled artisan.

**As in claims 11, 24, and 33,** Saishu et al. teaches of the auxiliary capacitor well known in the art that Hartmann implies, column 9 lines 15-25, column 12 lines 55-60.

**Therefore it would have been obvious to the skilled artisan** at the time of the invention to adapt said varying polarization and thickness values as suggested by Saishu in the device as suggested by Yang because both Yang and Saishu teaches of a drive technique for a passive and active matrix type liquid crystal display, as found in the above claims.

**As in claim 14,** Saishu et al. teaches of, wherein the liquid crystal material has a same tilt angle when voltages having a same absolute value and opposite polarities are

applied thereto, figure 4a, column 1 lines 38-42, Hartmann also suggests an angle advantage, column I lines 38-43.

**3. Claims 6, 19, and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hartman (4976515).**

**As in claims 6, 19, and 28, Hartman teaches of**, wherein the upper limit of the voltage has an absolute value of 7 V or less, figure 4a item  $V_d=6v$ , wherein 6v is sufficiently close to 7v and would have been an obvious design choice.

**4. Claims 10, 23, and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hartman (4976515) in view of Verhulst (6069604).**

**As in claims 10, 23, and 32, Hartmann is silent as to voltages having an opposite polarity and same value. Verhulst teaches the display as taught by Hartman can vary the signal applied to Vcom, figure 8b, wherein the result is having voltages of an opposite polarity and same value as claimed is produced.**

**As in claims 10, 23, and 32, Hartmann in view of Verhulst teaches of**, wherein a first response time is defined as a response time of the liquid crystal material between a first voltage and a second voltage having an opposite polarity to the first voltage not via a voltage of OV, figure 3a item  $V_{bl}$  and  $V_2$ , wherein a second response time is defined as

Art Unit: 2673

a response time of the liquid crystal material between a first voltage and a second voltage having an opposite polarity to the first voltage via the voltage of OV', wherein the second response time is five times or more as short as the first response time, figure 3a item V2 and Vbl, wherein the inclusion of a varying Veom would further produce blanking and data pulses of opposite polarity, via and not via OV's, as claimed.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

**5. Claims 1-5, 10, 17-18, 23, 26-27, and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nito et al. (5214523).**

**As in claim 1, Nito et al. teaches of a method of driving a liquid crystal display device, said liquid crystal display device including: an orientation film over a substrate, column 3 lines 52-67, column 7 lines 30-45;**

said liquid crystal material over the orientation film, said liquid crystal material having a chiral smectic phase, **column 3 lines 5-10,**

Art Unit: 2673

wherein a brightness of said liquid crystal material increases monotonically according to a voltage value applied to said liquid crystal material, **column 8 lines 63-67, column 9 lines 1-40,**

said method comprising the steps of: displaying a black level by the liquid crystal material in a first period, **figure 9a & b;**

applying a voltage to the liquid crystal material for a gradation display in a second period, wherein the second period comes before or after the first period, **figure 9a & b, column 9 lines 1-40.**

**As in claim 2, Nito et al. teaches of** a method of driving a liquid crystal display device, said liquid crystal display device including: an orientation film over a substrate, **column 3 lines 52-67, column 7 lines 30-45;**

said liquid crystal material over the orientation film, said liquid crystal material having a chiral smectic phase, **column 3 lines 5-10,**

wherein a brightness of said liquid crystal material increases monotonically according to a voltage value applied to said liquid crystal, **column 8 lines 63-67, column 9 lines 1-40,**

Art Unit: 2673

said method comprising the steps of: canceling out a spontaneous polarization of the liquid crystal material in a first period, **figure 9a & b, column 9 lines 1-40;**

and applying a voltage to the liquid crystal material for a gradation display in a second period, wherein the second period comes before or after the first period, **figure 9a & b, column 9 lines 1-40.**

**As in claim 3, Nito et al. teaches of a method of driving a liquid crystal display device:** said liquid crystal display device including: an orientation film over a substrate, **column 3 lines 52-67, column 7 lines 30-45;**

and a liquid crystal material over the orientation film, said liquid crystal material having a chiral smectic phase, **column 3 lines 5-10,**

wherein a brightness of said liquid crystal material increases monotonically according to a voltage value applied to said liquid crystal, **column 8 lines 63-67, column 9 lines 1-40,**

said method comprising the steps of: applying a voltage of OV to the liquid crystal material, **figure 9a & b, column 9 lines 1-40;**

Art Unit: 2673

and applying a voltage to the liquid crystal material for a gradation display in a second period, wherein the second period comes before or after the first period, **figure 9a & b, column 9 lines 1-40.**

**As in claims 4, 17, and 26, Nito teaches of**, wherein a plurality of active elements are formed over the substrate, column 9 lines 55-63.

**As in claims 5, 18, and 27, Nito teaches of**, wherein each of the plurality of active elements applies a voltage to the liquid crystal material, column 9 lines 55-63, and wherein the voltage has an upper limit, column 9 lines 25-40.

**As in claims 35-37, Nito teaches of** said liquid crystal material being driven by active matrix driving, column 9 lines 55-63.

**As in claim 38, Nito teaches of** wherein said black level is displayed by applying a voltage of OV to the liquid crystal material, figure 9a&b.

**As in claim 39-41, Nito teaches** wherein a quantity of light changes by changing a values of a voltage, column 9 lines 10-40, figure 9a&b.

**As in claims 10, 23, and 32, Nito teaches** voltages having an opposite polarity and same value, figure 9a&b.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

**6. Claims 12-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nito et al. (5214523) in view of Yamamoto et al. (5617229)**

**As in claim 12, Nito et al. teaches of a method of driving a liquid crystal display device, said liquid crystal display device including: a plurality of thin film transistors being provided over a substrate, column 9 lines 55-68;**

**an orientation film over each of the plurality of thin film transistors, column 3 lines 52-67, column 7 lines 30-45;**

**and said liquid crystal material over the orientation film, said liquid crystal material having a spontaneous polarization, column 3 lines 5-10,**

**wherein a brightness of said liquid crystal material increases monotonically according to a voltage value applied to said liquid crystal, column 8 lines 63-67, column 9 lines 1-40,**

said method comprising the steps of: applying a voltage of OV to the liquid crystal material in a first period, **figure 9a & b, column 9 lines 1-40;**

and performing a gradation display in a second period, wherein the second period comes before or after the first period, wherein the first period and the second period repeat, **figure 9a & b, column 9 lines 1-40.**

**However Nito et al. is silent as** to said auxiliary capacitor being connected in series to each of the plurality of thin film transistors;

**Yamamoto et al. teaches of said capacitor, figure 21 item LC, column 4 lines 3-28.**

Yamamoto et al. teaches of a liquid crystal display as suggested by Nito and therefore the features of Nito are combinable with Yamamoto et al. for the purpose of enhancing the display with features as known in the art. Particularly said capacitor is known for use in ferroelectric matrix addressed display system.

**Therefore it would have been obvious** to the skilled artisan to provide the capacitor as taught by Yamamoto in the system of Nito because said capacitor is known for use in ferroelectric matrix addressed display system, as found in claim 12.



Art Unit: 2673

**As in claim 13**, Nito et al. teaches of, wherein a transmittance of the liquid crystal material is uniquely determined when voltages having a same absolute value and opposite polarities are applied thereto, figure 9(b).

**As in claim 14**, Nito et al. teaches of, wherein the liquid crystal material has a same tilt angle when voltages having a same absolute value and opposite polarities are applied thereto, figure 9(b).

**As in claim 15**, Nito et al. teaches of wherein the liquid crystal display material has a chiral smectic phase, column 3 lines 5-10.

**7. Claims 7-9, 11, 14, 16, 20-22, 24, 25, 29-31, 33, and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nito et al. (5214523) in view of Saishu et al. (6069600).**

**As in claims 7-9, 16, 20-22, 25, 29-31, and 34**, Nito et al. teaches of the invention as applied to claims 1-3 and 12, however Nito is silent as to said combination of varying spontaneous polarization of the liquid crystal material being of a specific value and orientation film thickness.

**Saishu et al. teaches of** varying spontaneous polarization of the liquid crystal material, column 9 lines 15-25, column 12 lines 55-60, further wherein said varying orientation

Art Unit: 2673

film thickness would have been an obvious design choice in view of the range of values suggested by Saishu, further wherein said thickness values also represent obvious design choice thickness values available to the skilled artisan.

**As in claims 11, 24, and 33**, Saishu et al. teaches of the auxiliary capacitor well known in the art that Nito et al. is silent on, column 9 lines 15-25, column 12 lines 55-60.

**Therefore it would have been obvious to the skilled artisan** at the time of the invention to adapt said varying polarization and thickness values as suggested by Saishu in the device as suggested by Nito because both Nito and Saishu teaches of a drive technique an active matrix type liquid crystal display, as found in the above claims.

**As in claim 14**, Saishu et al. teaches of, wherein the liquid crystal material has a same tilt angle when voltages having a same absolute value and opposite polarities are applied thereto, figure 4a, column 1 lines 38-42, Nito et al. also suggests an angle advantage, column 5 lines 55-60.

**8. Claims 6, 19, and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nito (5214523).**

**As in claims 6, 19, and 28, Nito teaches of**, wherein the upper limit of the voltage has an absolute value of 7 V or less, table 1, column 9 lines 25-40, wherein 11.1v is sufficiently close to 7v and would have been an obvious design choice.

### ***Response to Arguments***

9. Applicant's arguments filed on 7/27/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Hartman teaches of a material having a chiral smectic phase as is known. Further, a new rejection in view of Nito et al. has been added, wherein Nito et al. teaches of a material having a chiral smectic phase as also known.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **David L. Lewis** whose telephone number is **(571) 272-7673**. The examiner can normally be reached on MT and THF from 8 to 5. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bipin Shalwala, can be reached on **(571) 272-7681**. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (571)-273-8300.

11. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.


Art Unit: 2673

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

---

Examiner: David L. Lewis

November 30, 2005



**BIPIN SHALWALA**  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600